

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE
PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION

No. 2:12-md-02323-AB
MDL No. 2323

Kevin Turner and Shawn Wooden, on behalf
of themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

National Football League and NFL Properties, LLC,
successor-in-interest to NFL Properties, Inc.,

Defendants.

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Goldberg Persky & White

v.

SPID 100005368 (M.G.)

Attorney Lien Dispute

Case No. 1710

EXPLANATION AND ORDER

DAVID R. STRAWBRIDGE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

September 22, 2021

Before the Court is a Petition to Establish Attorney's Lien ("Lien") seeking attorney's fees and costs from any Monetary Claim to be paid to Settlement Class Member ("SCM") M.G., which was filed in October 2020 by Goldberg Persky & White ("Goldberg"). On May 19, 2021, the Claims Administrator issued a Notice of Lien to current counsel, Feder Law LLC ("Feder"). The Claims Administrator ultimately issued a Notice of Monetary Award Claim Determination on July 6, 2021 based upon the claim filed by Feder in April 2021.

The District Court referred all Petitions for individual attorney's liens to this Magistrate Judge. (Doc. No. 7746). Rules Governing Attorneys' Liens ("Rules") were adopted on March 6, 2018 and amended on October 3, 2018. (Doc. Nos. 9760 and 10283). Pursuant to Rule 12,

Goldberg and Feder (the “Parties”) were advised of their right to consent to have the Magistrate Judge issue a final Order to resolve this Dispute. The Parties have consented to jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this Opinion will serve as the final decision of the Court concerning this dispute.¹

The Parties have reached an agreement as to the allocation of the attorney fee in this case and submitted Withdrawal of Attorney’s Lien Dispute forms (the “Withdrawal”) pursuant to Rule 24 dated September 20 and 21, 2021. The Withdrawal agreement clearly indicates what portion of the funds withheld for counsel fee that each party will receive and sets forth an agreement as to how to disburse any portion of the 5% holdback that may be released in the future. The agreement was negotiated by counsel who were informed and concerned about their own interest. Upon review of the Withdrawal and the contingency fee agreements, and in consideration of the Presumptive Fee Cap (Doc. No. 9863), we conclude that the fee to be paid to each firm is not unreasonable and that the fee does not exceed either the percentage for which the client contracted nor the percentage allowed under the 22% Presumptive Fee Cap. Accordingly, we conclude that the Withdrawal should be granted and that the Claims Administrator should be ordered to distribute the funds withheld for attorneys’ fees to the parties as set forth in the Withdrawal.²

¹ This decision does not concern the propriety of the Monetary Award to the SCM, which has already been authorized. Rather, it solely addresses the allocation of the portion of the SCM’s Monetary Award that was set aside by the Claims Administrator for fees and costs of the SCM’s individually-retained counsel after the filing of an attorney’s lien by prior counsel.

² Pursuant to the Court’s Order Regarding Withholdings for Common Benefit Fund (Doc. No. 10104), 5% of the Award is currently being held in the Attorney’s Fees Qualified Settlement Fund, where it must remain until further order of the Court. The Claims Administrator will ensure that if any portion of those funds are later released they will be paid pursuant to the agreed terms in the Withdrawal.

AND NOW, this 22nd day of September, 2021, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Withdrawal of the Lien Dispute is **GRANTED**; and
2. The Claims Administrator is **ORDERED** to disburse the withheld funds in accordance with this decision, the provisions of the Settlement Agreement, and all Court Orders regarding implementation.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ David R. Strawbridge, USMJ
DAVID R. STRAWBRIDGE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE